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(10:30)

Scripture Reading:   Mark 4 : 21 - 34

( 12:46)

Tonight’s program we’re going to look at a writ mandamus

This writ mandamus is a follow up on our paper that we filed last week

which we filed on September 16

that was on fake law and fake courts

After thinking about that I wrote another paper

It’s a writ mandamus

It has a lot to do with the paper we did last week

It’s more detailed of what took place

If anybody wants to follow along

you can go to our website nationallibertyalliance.org

Highlight “Grand Jury” on the blue bar on the far right side

click on “Action Against the Judiciary”

There’s five papers that we filed

The fifth paper on the 23rd

September 23rd is the paper that we’re going to look at today

The papers that we recently filed

On August 17, 2019 we file an Order and Declaration to Restore the Law

On September 3 we filed another Decision and Order Concerning Merging Equity and Law together

On September 9 we filed another indictment against Judge Kahn for threatening to throw our papers away if we didn’t come down and pick them up

we sent a paper also to the clerk

Then on the 16th we filed a paper Fake Law and Fake Courts

Today we didn’t get it out yet we’ll probably get it out tomorrow this Writ Mandamus that we’re going to read tonight

You can get a copy at our website

The Rules Enabling Act in 1934 was really a coup against this nation against the United States of America

I want to look at some dates that we looked at in the past

These are some key dates

Phase 1 took place in 1965 the Thirteenth Amendment in 1968 the Fourteenth Amendment

which started to set the stage for our rights to be turned to civil rights

Thirteen was in order to get rid of the rejection of the Founding Fathers of BAR attorneys

particularly English BAR British BAR We have our own BAR now

That’s Phase 2

In 1871 we have the Organic Act of 1871 a state within a state within a city was created

In 1878 seven years later 75 lawyers established the ABA

There is a conspiracy

We’re going to talk more about that when we read this paper

The American BAR Association has been teaching civil law in place of common law

The next step Phase 3 was 1913 Sixteenth Amendment

where the people’s sovereignty was stolen

Federal Reserve took control of the US Treasury

And the Seventeenth Amendment stole state sovereignty

Phase 4 in 1934 came out with the Rules Enabling Act

and that took control of our courts

That didn’t take effect until a couple of years later in 1938 when the rules were brought into effect and at that point just a few years later the courts were then operating totally under civil law and no longer common law

and no longer equity Equity also has been removed from our courts as well as courts of law

Phase 5 in 1944 civil law in full force the federal courts surrendered to the centralized banking system

1947 CIA NSA National Police State Surveillance Grid came into view

1948 United Nations established headquarters in New York City

that headquarters really focuses in on the New World Order

The plot was revealed in 1950 in the Reese Committee

The video on Norman Dodd the chief investigator of the Reese Committee we have that up at our website

We have that in our Civics Course

This plot started in 1776

That plot was already being put in place

In 2001 this is phase 6 2001 Homeland Security Police State Surveillance Grid reached it’s maturity

one hundred percent control of all communications recording and storing of communications everywhere throughout the whole United States

In 2013 John Kerry signed the United Nations Arms Treaty where he agreed Americans would be disarmed

And then the unexpected happened

2017 Donald Trump became President

foils the coup begins to dismantle the Deep State

Today it’s the outcome based socialists education

which is in full force in our schools

destroying our youth

There are over 80 thousand lawyers

80 thousand minions of the New World Order

working day and night to bring forward this New World Order

Not that they know about it

They’ve been told a lie that they believe

There are three categories of law

In the United States we are supposed to have two processes of law

One is called equity and the other one is called law

those are two of the three categories where all law falls under

The third one is civil law

Common law is natural law

Equity is unique in it’s own

We were looking at positive law a couple of months ago

There are 57 US Codes

They’ve taken equity and turned it into civil law

We’re going to start and look at this writ of mandamus

(26:00)

The Writ of Mandamus begins as follows:

THE FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER, proceeding under the authority of 28 U.S. Code § 620,5 whose purpose is to further the development and adoption of improved judicial administration in the courts of the United States. One of the Center’s main functions is to educate and train personnel of the judicial branch of the Government including, but not limited to, judges, United States magistrate judges, clerks of court, probation officers, and persons serving as mediators and arbitrators. Presently the Center’s governing board is chaired by the Chief Justice of the United States John G. Roberts, Jr.

According to the Federal Judicial Center, in 1938, pursuant to its authority under the Rules Enabling Act of 1934, the Supreme Court enacted uniform “rules” of procedure for the federal courts. Among the changes wrought by the “rules” were “The elimination of the federal courts’ separate jurisdiction over suits in equity. Under the new rules, suits in equity and suits at common law were grouped together under the term civil action,” thereby subjecting Common Law to the will of the State.

The “ABA United States Supreme Court” and the “ABA Judiciary,” via Rule 2, which states “There is one form of Action – the civil action,” committed Treason and are thereby guilty of Seditious Conspiracy by Advocating and Teaching the Overthrow of our Government,8 and Insurrection against the Law of the Land

The mandamus concludes with the following:

THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IS TO SPEAK – “Silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to speak, or where an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading.”

THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IS TO ACT – 18 USC § 2382 – Misprision of treason – Whoever, owing allegiance to the United States, by oath,20 and having knowledge of the commission of any treason against them, conceals and does not, as soon as may be, disclose and make known the same to the President or to some judge of the United States, or to the governor or to some judge or justice of a particular State, is guilty of misprision of treason and shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than seven years, or both.

CONGRESS HAS A DUTY TO IMPEACH when judges are in bad behavior. TREASON IS BAD BEHAVIOR! perform your duty.

(35:28)

A copy of that is at our website

QUESTIONS

Question 1: A fellow was talking about his debt collector case in California

He’s working with Jim on joining the grand jury case

The court issued a void summary judgement They received a notice of involuntary lien

filed by the plaintiff He filed his own challenge of jurisdiction using NLAs documents on the website on August 27 his hearing will be October 31 2019

Does he need to file anything regarding this lien?

The plaintiff filed a lien against him

The debt collector

We have these forms up there for people who feel that they understand the process and can handle their own business We created some forms that could be helpful to those people

Once they do that they need to understand where the court can go from there

and how to move the court in the direction you want to move it

The key thing to all of these court cases ultimately is jurisdiction and due process

They don’t have jurisdiction because these are civil law courts

and that is clear under Rule 2

where the United States Supreme Court and the federal judiciary working together

have decided that common law is no more and equity is no more and there is now the law of the city called civil law

We’re in jurisdictions unknown

They make it up as they go

It’s a difficult process to fight

It’s an uphill battle

They’ll roll right over you

If you can take control of the narrative of the court

where do you go from there?

move it into federal court

you have to understand the court the jurisdiction that you’re in the process

You have to understand Rule 2

What we’re doing is an uphill battle

It’s going to take awhile

People need to get educated

The people need to understand that the Constitution is no longer in play in our courts

You got civil rights

We don’t want to get bogged down with details of court cases

We’re not going to get into the argument

The case is not the point

It’s the process that they used that is the point

It gets back to jurisdictions unknown

Denial of due process

Being hijacked into jurisdictions unknown

That’s how we battle everyone of these cases

It’s always the same thing in all of these courts

This proves conspiracy

Every time we add a case to our case it’s just more evidence

We do intend on making decisions on these cases

and filing it with the proper courts

They will probably ignore it for awhile

They can’t ignore it forever

You can’t have just courts without a court of justice

They get funny money from special interest groups

That’s what’s controlling them

Now it’s all falling apart on them

(47:29)

Question 2 I wanted to file a redress of grievances prior to my hearing

Should I do so or not?

The only way you’re going to win these cases is to eventually get it into federal court

You’re not going to win a case against the status quo

Once you trip up and they find out that you don’t know the next step and you don’t know where to go from here that’s when the steamrollers come out and you’re done It’s over

People need to do their homework

People need to know how to make their battle

(50:21)

Question 3: I understand that NLA will file the amicus curiae around October 1

In the meantime do I need to prepare the counterclaim to move the case to a different court or will NLA do so after the thirty day period has passed?

Jim has put together information to lay it out

what the process is step by step

The amicus curiae is the first step

The second step is moving it into federal court

which is what we would do

You move it into federal court but we create the paperwork

That’s show cause

The next step after that is a decision and order

and the next step after that is the execution of that decision and order

That could take some while

We’re not promising anybody sunshine and lollipops

This is going to take some time

We’re going to have success together

We’re not going to get success independently

Maybe the judges involved will be woken up

They may respond properly and you could win your court that way

We did have two or three people that were released from habeas corpuses that we done

even though they won’t give us credit

Federal judges ignored it they’re guilty

The judges in the courts in a couple of cases where they responded and did the right thing

the rest of them didn’t they’re guilty

We indicted all of those judges

both on the federal level and also on the lower level

Things take time

(53:16)

Question 4 I have often heard you speak about the due process violations in regards to NonJudicial Foreclosures Can I challenge jurisdiction in a judicial foreclosure state?

Yes because there is no such thing in common law as a nonjudicial foreclosure or even a judicial foreclosure that denies due process in the process of law and proper jurisdiction

It’s a civil court It is not a court of record A court of equity has no power or authority to hear this case to begin with We don’t fall under equity We fall under law

There is civil law that’s the law of man the law of the city

that’s a lawless law

it comes from tyrants people who want to control that’s civil law

You have civil law you have equity you have natural law

natural law operates under the rules of common law

(58:53)

Question 5 I took the first step in joining my federal case with NLA I filled out the form with case number and name of judge and prosecutors Is there a screening process for the cases to be joined? About how long before I know that the case is joined? How does joining my case with NLA affect my current strategy to withdraw a plea and challenge jurisdiction?

The first order of business is the amicus curiae which challenges the jurisdiction

That’s where it begins

It denies the court jurisdiction they don’t have it

Joining the case filling out the paperwork and joining the case and deciding whether we’re going to take that case I can’t think of many cases or many things we wouldn’t take on

If it’s a case that the individual initiated himself that’s a case we would not necessarily get involved with

You already went into a court and you’re coming at it from a different perspective

It’s got to be when the government is chasing you

or a mortgage company unlawfully using civil law courts in order to leverage themselves your money away from you

There will be some cases that we may not take on

This is all about jurisdiction

We can almost take on any case because it’s about jurisdiction

They don’t have it They’re coming at us with a law that has no power and no authority

As long as the people continue to fall under this fiction and allow this fiction to abuse us then that’s how long we’ll have it

Until the people step up we need to hit critical mass

We need 15,000 people across the nation to take back the entire political process

Same thing to take back the judicial process

We only need 15,000 people

The people need to become educated

(1:02:38)

Question 6 Friday September 20 was 30 days after the completion of service of the show cause motion in my court case

What can I do to keep my case moving for relief demanded in the show cause?

35 days and we should be able to move it in for cause

we do have the paperwork to do that

Jim may not have the ability to do it at the moment

It may take another week for him to get to it because he’s working on other things

We could use more help

Anybody who wants to volunteer their help there’s lots of things that we do people can volunteer their time

There’s not enough people helping us to do the work

You can talk with Jim and ask him where he’s at on that

(1:04:00)

Question 7 I went to a hearing on two motions One motion to dismiss unlicensed practice of law Two motion to terminate said case based upon a defective warrant

I challenged the courts jurisdiction in my motion to dismiss The judge asked the prosecutor to respond to my challenge of jurisdiction in which the prosecutor only said my motion challenging jurisdiction is legally insufficient because the alleged crime took place in the county where the court is

I objected by stating that venue is different from constitutional jurisdiction under common law

The judge asked the prosecutor to respond

In which the prosecutor said he didn’t have anything to say

The judge then denied my motion stating that he has jurisdiction

I told him to state it on the record

He said that he has it and that’s his opinion

(1:05:00)

That’s an opinion that’s about it

It’s not a decision

He was biased

The individual gave plenty of information

hopefully he done if by affidavit

sworn statement an affidavit

and the other side didn’t do anything by affidavit

The judge can only rule on what’s before him

They can’t presume or add their own thing

The judge made that decision with nothing from the other side

just proves the fact that they’re biased

What should have been done immediately was to tell the judge to recuse themself

The next step also the other point the judge already believes he’s got jurisdiction

clearly the biased decision you just made proves that

A decision has to be made in a court of record

The decision has to be moved there

It’s the judge’s job to move it there for the decision to be made

That wasn’t done

It goes back to understanding the game plan

We already know what they’re going to do

We already know what they’re going to say

It’s like playing chess

We think there’s a Constitution

They know that there’s not a Constitution in play at all

(1:11:00)

Question 8 I was arrested with an unsigned warrant from a judge

She looked into his computer and printed a copy of the unsigned warrant

I showed him countless amounts of case laws including the state constitution that mandates that the warrant be signed He asked the prosecutor to respond As usual the prosecutor had nothing to say The judge said to me in open court my case is different once the state attorney has probable cause he can go ahead and have the sheriff execute the warrant without a judge or magistrate’s signature I asked him which law states that?

He said Just appeal me if you disagree

It also requires a signed affidavit by the injured party

Where’s the injured party?

They love to put people in jail

especially in the lower courts

They can go up to a year

You can’t go to jail first you have to be indicted

in order for the crime to be there there must be an injured party

There must be a sworn affidavit of that injured party

Even the state cannot act upon you without having a sworn affidavit

They never do

You can win on that position itself

Judges continue to go to the prosecutor

They have no clue what to do

These judges know nothing

They don’t even know the civil law

They don’t understand the repercussions of their actions

(1:14:11)

Question 9 I started the appeal process today

The hearing was last Friday I’m going to move the case to federal court for cause

Is this a good move?

It’s the right move to do

You can’t mess around in the court

You come into court you bring your points up you push the court to do what has to be done

They do the wrong thing

Don’t go back to that court no more move that court somewhere else

You can move the decision of the court to a panel of judges

It’s called reconsideration of a decision by a panel

Push for a reconsideration of a decision

You have the choice for that judge to reconsider it

or to get a panel of judges to reconsider it

(1:19:00)

Question 10 I watched the Quo Warranto today and I am wondering how come Obama wrote those executive orders It was his work? Correct? How could I not know about this until today? Why is this not exposed widely?

Because they own the media

The media is part of the coup

the media is part of the problem

Trump keeps talking about the fake news the fake news the fake news

We talk about the fake law and the fake courts

It’s all phony It’s fiction It’s not real

As long as the masses believes the lie then the lie rules

Until you wake up through knowledge to realize you’ve been conned you’ve been robbed

Wake up and step up

People are waking up everyday

They’re going to be blown away

They’re not going to be prepared

How close we’ve come to losing our nation

1:22:50)

Question 11: Are you aware of Freedom School.com ?

No

What about the birth certificate ? Can one use this to accept for a value and pay off one’s debts?

If you want to go to jail

I know a person who did that and after he got his big check 30 days later the feds came and broke down his door If that’s what you want to do

Don’t fall for all of that stuff

Don’t think that you’re going to take their game plan and go into their sandbox and think that you’re going to play the game out and win with their rules

Their rules only work for them

We have continued to survive because we stay away from all of that stuff

We don’t get into the man on the land stuff

getting rid of your drivers license birth certificate and social security number

All you’re doing is saying I’m ready I’ll be over here to get me

It doesn’t work

It’s not going to work

These people that are teaching this stuff are doing a disservice to people

They don’t realize they are putting people in harm’s way

(1:24:39)

Question 12 What about bankruptcy fraud?

I don’t understand the question

Question 13 How do we use subrogation properly in law?

we will come back to this question

Question 14 This fellow has two cases in federal court in Georgia

One is supposed to be a trial by jury He wanted to get 25 people to review his case and make a ruling He was going to do a modified grand jury on his own by going out and finding these 25 random people Then he found our website So he wants to know what is the fee or donation required or requested in order for us to do a 25 member common law grand jury?

First of all that’s not what we’re doing at least not right now

It’s not going to go anywhere It’s not going to be accepted

We’re walking into a statutory process trying to bring a common law process into a statutory process We’d be put at risk to do that

If you want to talk with the grand jury they’re going to do everything they can to block you

No matter what you do to get to that grand jury they will block you

If you are persistent they give you jail. That’s what they did to an individual that was trying to talk with the grand jury They convicted him on stalking stalking the grand jury

The juries are not real juries

They’re statutory juries

They’re controlled by judges and prosecutors

They are stacked they are evaluated to be placed in the proper cases to maintain the status quo

These lawyers have been at it for a long long time

Back to the question about subrogation

The substitution of one person in the place of another with reference to a lawful claim, demand, or right, so that he who is substituted succeeds to the rights of the other in relation to the debt or claim, and its rights, remedies, or securities A legal fiction through which a person who not as a volunteer or in his own wrong, and in absence of outstanding and superior equities, pays debt of another, is substituted to all rights and remedies of the other, and the debt is treated in equity as still existing for his benefit, and the doctrine is broad enough to include every instance in which another is primarily answerable, and which in equity and good conscience should have been discharged by such other

Back to question 13

How do we use subrogation properly in law?

(1:30:00)

I have no context so I don’t know how to respond

(1:31:30)

Question 15 Regarding this fellow’s trial by jury It was dismissed because he would not fully participate in discovery He believes discovery is not involved in a court of record.

It’s not It depends on how the discovery plays out

You do an affidavit it must be rebutted

An affidavit unrebutted rules the court

They can’t throw a case out because you refuse to participate in discovery

They have the rules of the court which have nothing to do with us

Those rules don’t apply to common law courts

They do whatever they want They make it up as they go

(1:33:27)

Question 16 Have you watched Richard Luke Cornforth’s videos on Void Judgment

In that he explains why it is not lawful to include the judge as a party in a collateral attack

Although the judge loses immunity when continuing under lack of jurisdiction he cannot be named in collateral attack challenging jurisdiction because he’s not a proper party in the case

Instead the judge should be sued in a separate suit but not as a party of the jurisdictional challenge

Immunity only works as long as he’s obeying the law and the process

Once they step outside the law which is what they do they lose their immunity

All the officers of the court are conspiring

They are hijacking you into jurisdictions unknown collectively using the court the machinery the judicial machinery to destroy you and take from you without due process

He says I am bringing this up because if I understand correctly NLA is including the judge on the jurisdictional collateral attack Am I missing something here?

not missing anything that is correct

that collateral attack is upon that court

all the participants of that court

We require justice and go forward

I am aware of NLA’s reasoning for including the judge in collateral attack however my feeling is that it might put the new court in the defensive and create bias toward the negative ruling because they might not want to promote actions against their own for this reason I think that a separate lawsuit against the judge makes more tactical sense

you’re going into their sandbox and dealing with civil law

we’re not doing that we’re common law we let the people decide

(1:38:13)

Question 17 This fellow was arrested two times both because of speeding but when they stopped him they found out that he didn’t have a drivers license or insurance

so they impounded his car and charged him $500 in storage $300 to tow it back home

his license is gone What does he need to do he’s watched so many judges run over the people

I have a drivers license when you get into the man on the land stuff they teach you to get rid of all of that stuff I’m not going to get rid of my drivers license

From time to time I did do commercial driving

It’s a good ID if you want to open up a bank account why buck the system

If we want to change that then let’s change it

We first have to take back the courts

How do we fix the problems that we got?

What do we want to do about it?

That’s going to take time before you can fix those problems

I wouldn’t be driving without a drivers license

Get a drivers license just to keep yourself out of jail

I don’t have time to spend in jail

Nobody should be driving unless they have insurance or a bond or something

If you injure somebody there’s got to be something to take care of that

Insurance is a good way to go

In today’s world you should have something in case you do have a situation

Let’s deal with what we have to deal with until we can make the changes

I’ve been through give up your social security number get rid of your birth certificate get rid of your drivers license make the declaration of who you are it only creates more problems

Use them until you can get rid of them rightfully

until we can figure something else out

We don’t have control

Until the people get control then we can’t solve those problems

Children should learn Civics, the Constitution, Drivers Ed

(1:45:00)

I’m hoping to talk about committeemen in October

CALLERS

Caller 1 Shawn from Arizona

(1:47:00)

I got an issue and I sent my question to questions@nationallibertyalliance.org

I have an issue with a state regarding a failed business venture that included an investor

the state is suing me for violating the Securities Act I guess they’re relying on a deposition from the investor that they’ve initiated the investor went to them He’s since passed The deposition didn’t say anything other than he lost money They got a sworn statement from somebody I never heard of I’m assuming somebody they paid for to go through financial records and build the case and make it look like I’ve done wrongdoings

In addition to a disclosure issue

There’s a couple of questions regarding my ability to joinder

Have I given up my rights or granted jurisdiction by way of acquiescing by taking a benefit

It’s a state issue We did give them authority to deal with those issues in securities

We don’t even have equity no more

What is required in that case is equity

And since they’re dealing with you in civil action you have an equity situation

I’m not sure that this is a case that we can do a joinder with

They’ve denied my right to due process by not allowing me a trial by jury

You’re clearly in the wrong jurisdiction

They’ve made it clear there is not a jury but there will be a summary judgment

I’ve sent the challenge of jurisdiction

I had a call with the judge and the prosecutor

They moved it up to Monday of next week

It’s going to come to a head Monday

I think we could take it in

Even in equity you have a right to a common law court

They’ve done it in a civil suit for federal reserve notes that they want

We may have to rewrite the amicus curiae

We may want to add a line or two in there

You violated a securities act

We want money we want you to pay back money

I think we’ll take this on

Talk to Jim

(1:54:23)

Caller 2 Matthew Matt from Texas

I’m involved in a dispute with the state They’re claiming a criminal case which is actually civil

The violation of a statute where the cop is alleging that I broke the law

All of my due process and civil rights have been ignored at every hearing

I’ve never had the opportunity to ask the district attorney questions about jurisdiction

about clarifying the syntax that’s written in this statute that I’m alleged breaking

I’ve only gotten to talk with the judge two times

He’s completely ignored that I’ve challenged jurisdiction

Most of the evidence that I have submitted is in the form of affidavits and notice and demands

outlining the jurisdictional issues as well as the standing of the prosecution

They have no injured party

You wrote affidavits and you filed them in the court?

I have and I’ve gotten notaries

It’s a sworn affidavit you have a notary

You filed the papers

They haven’t answered any of them

I’ve filed them since the beginning of January when I was alleged of breaking the statute

The judge told me at the status conference hearing which is the final hearing of the pretrial before I’m set for trial which is in November two days before Thanksgiving

He told me I have all your motions and I’ll make rulings on them

You have to proceed as a sovereign

You have unalienable rights

I don’t have civil rights I’ve got unalienable rights

The notice of removal was the first thing I filed back in March to have it removed from an Article 1 court

You’ve written affidavits and the other side did not answer

That would be May pending my second arrest

You should have moved the court for a summary judgement which would be righteous and just even in a common law procedure where you can move for summary judgment because they fail to respond they acquiesced they defaulted

When you put an affidavit in they got 30 days to respond to that affidavit

An affidavit unrebutted rules the court

You should have filed a default judgment

The second issue I’m having pending my second arrest charge they modified my bond conditions to infringe upon two of my constitutional rights

They told me I can’t buy a firearm

You don’t have constitutional rights

You have unalienable rights protected by the Constitution

Use the proper language make the proper points

I’m just trying to summarize because I don’t want to take up everybody else’s time

I want to tell you just how corrupt the court is

I wrote a Writ of Quo Warranto

Jurisdiction Complaint and an Affidavit Criminal Complaint

I submitted that a week before my hearing which was status conference

They have 30 days to do that

How do I file for default judgment?

I need to know how to organize my appeal paperwork

The third thing I need help with is writing a Writ of Prohibition so I can submit that in the Supreme Court and stop all judgement

They’re not going to do that They work with the lower courts

They’ve unified the courts

Ask Jim if he’s got an affidavit of default that you could work off of

Let me see what I can find for you

I can put it up on the site for everyone to have

I will put it up under “Due Process”

My bond conditions say that I can’t own any electronic devices that can access the internet without being monitored by county probation

The alleged charge is online solicitation of a minor

An undercover cop committed perjury

The state is trying to say that I had the intention to commit the crime

They’re using the chat transcript as alleged conjecture to say that my intention was criminal

The state would be the defacto injured party

If they haven’t responded to your affidavits then do an affidavit of default

move for a default judgment

these are jurisdictions unknown

I’ll see if I can put something up

We do have an example of an affidavit of default

Click on “Due Process” get into Court Forms and Process Instructions

you’ll see Instructions and Forms to Challenge Jurisdiction

underneath that

Instructions for Challenging Jurisdiction

and an Affidavit of Default

if they don’t respond they’ve acquiesced

then you can file the Affidavit of Default

You need knowledge

I want to do a joinder with these guy

These charges have cost me two steady paying jobs

I’m doing temp work just to pay for my court documents

I don’t have $5 to contribute monthly

Every other place I go to they all want donations

We have operated for a lot of years without money

We given everything away for free

We give courses for free

Then we made a move in a different direction and wanted $5/month or more

to help us keep going

But if people don’t have that we can go forward without that

We want everyone to have this knowledge

We do have to survive and pay the bills

We want to give everybody even if they can’t afford it the knowledge

Just get ahold of Jan and he’ll flip the button

so you can take the course and do what you need to do

Someday you will be able to donate to us

As far as joining the case talk with Jim

I’d like to see a small synopsis of what’s going on

the makeup of the case nothing long

certain cases it may not be wise to take on

Right now we want to be a little careful

It’s an uphill battle

If you want to get ahold of Jim send an e-mail to intake@nationallibertyalliance.org

(2:13:33)

Caller 3 Greg in Michigan

I spoke with you last week

You will probably tell me to look at X 22

I know I need to do that

I’m busy with many things besides my work

I just e-mailed this question in to questions@nationallibertyalliance.org

I’ve been hearing for more than a year now about these rumored 50,000 sealed indictments against all these Deep State people

Pedophiles are part of the Deep State

all these other crooks in Washington and elsewhere

and hearing how they’re going to be tried in military courts

they’re going to be locked up

When is this going to start happening?

When is somebody finally going to be arrested?

I’m getting impatient

How do we know that this isn’t just a hoax or something?

You’re not paying attention to X 22

Patience is a virtue

Good things come to those people who wait

Do things and wait for the process

Timing is everything

National Liberty Alliance understands that very clearly

We’ve been at this since 2013

We’ve been at this seven years

We go back further than that

I’ve been involved in this since 2000 and 2001

The beginning of 2000 is when I got involved with We the People with Robert Schultz

We had the committeeman thing that we started back in 2009 which morphed into National Liberty Alliance

National Liberty Alliance has been in operation since 2009

We were in the courts in 2010 and 2011 trying to figure things out

If you don’t understand the strategy that’s going on by the Deep State

They are panicking

They’re doing everything they can to keep the narrative

They’re bringing up different issues

The Deep State is working with the mainstream media

Trump is playing them like a fiddle

Timing is everything

We started filing our papers just at the right time

We’re filing papers every week

We’re waiting for critical mass

We’re coming up to 8,000 members now

we got a pretty big membership

we need critical mass

We got some interviews coming up

That’s going to get more traction

People are going to learn more about us

Trump has been consistent

He has been very clear that he’s there for the people

You got to have patience

Are you ready to step up and take control?

If you’re not educated in the process of what’s going on then you’re not ready

That’s what National Liberty Alliance is preparing people to do

Truth is self evident

It takes time

Clearly Trump has a plan

There is no doubt who Q is Q is military intelligence Q Plus is Trump himself

Listening to Q talk that is part of the key here it’s consistent it’s obvious

I don’t see contradictions

I’ve been listening for quite some time I’m convinced

We at National Liberty Alliance are doing the job that we need to be doing

Everybody should be doing something to take back the political process and the judicial process

(2:35:00)

Caller 4 Otto from California

I filed the jurisdictional challenge with the court

I’m doing that through you

I filed my own but I’m doing the joinder

Before I had done that I filed a motion to compel

I went to a hearing They denied it

They also issued a minute order for assumption for $200

The minute order doesn’t say anything about the authority for issuing it it doesn’t explain anything it’s not even signed

I think it’s just a trick for me to pay by acknowledging jurisdiction

I don’t know how to proceed

If they’re going to threaten you with jail if you don’t pay then pay

Sometimes you got to do what you got to do

Don’t worry about giving them jurisdiction

You’re really trying to figure out how to defeat these people

They’re all frauds

They’re operating totally unlawfully

Jurisdictions unknown

They have abrogated the common law and equity and our Constitution and everything

They’re doing whatever they please

It’s an uphill battle

I’ll just go ahead and pay it

I’ll do an affidavit

I get stopped by the cops from time to time

Usually they let me go

I’m very polite with them and so on and so forth

When I get a ticket I send the paper in Half the time I never hear from the court again

The other half the time the continue to pursue it they push towards giving me a fine

I have two options

I can either pay the fine

or take them to court and fight them and then sue them

I don’t have time to take them to court and sue them

I pay the ticket throw it in a file and maybe one day I’ll sue them all

We need to take back our courts

(2:39:08)

Caller 5 Judson from Louisiana

Has one considered that magistrate clerks of court masquerading as judges presume the privilege of denying a motion being that the term motion is up for their discretion and consideration Would it possibly be better to title our motions as writs?

A motion is to move the court

It up to the judges or magistrate’s discretion

I don’t ask the judge for permission to do anything

A notice of motion is an important thing

A motion means you’ve moved the court some way

and you’ve exercised your authority to move that court

You don’t get permission from the judge to move the court

You just move it

and you expect that he’s going to play fair game here which they don’t

They’re breaking the law over and over and over again

You challenge jurisdiction and they ignore it

Move the court get out of there

You can tell people what to do but when they get there they don’t know what to do after they make the first move

It’s all a fraud

(2:55:00)

Caller 6 Olick from Maryland

I am stuck in an equity court which is custody court which is a court of equity

I’ve been battling this for ten years

It’s not an equity court

It was a girlfriend and the children are grown

They may say and write that it is equity but that is not the case

It should be a court of record

Equity has to do with constitutional authority to write legislation for a certain purpose

Dealing with custody and marriage shouldn’t even be in a court of law

It should be under the auspices of the court

There should be a group of people who are not lawyers

who have some background in counselling

working out the situation and being fair

From shared custody for the past three years they completely deprived me of my kids

They are 13 and almost 16 They have spoke with the judge they want to see their father

Now I’m going from a different angle I claim that they are my property My property has been taken away from me I am thinking of moving it into the next step

A federal court can only hear situations that are under the Constitution

Your right of due process has been violated

You can take it into court for that

If you win that then everything that court has done has to be reversed back to your original state

plus you can pursue damages

To get a judge to do that on a federal level is like pulling teeth

It’s an uphill battle

On your website you show that you have helped people in the past with a similar situation

We’re doing things now where we’re trying to help people and they can move their case and join it with ours because they’re in jurisdictions unknown not getting due process

We take it into the federal court

That’s exactly what I need to do

How do I do that?

Talk with Jim at intake@nationallibertyalliance.org

(3:00:20)

Caller 7 Scott from Georgia

What kind of help or knowledge would you give someone that has been told that he has an arrest warrant and has been indicted and all this started from a headlight being out

If there’s a warrant out for you and an indictment first of all I can tell you that the indictment is unlawful and the arrest warrant is unlawful

The process that they’re doing is wrong

That doesn’t change anything People believe the fiction is truth and reality

and that gives it power and authority

The only way to fix that is education

Education is the only way to fix it

It’s an uphill battle

You have to be able to defend yourself

You can challenge jurisdiction

Not that they’re going to listen

It’s an uphill battle and you have to have knowledge

They’re not listening at all They’re ignoring

They’re railroading me

I got a judge that says he has jurisdiction

Talk with Jim maybe it’s a case that we can help you with for a joinder

He might be ready to do the mailings for me

We have that paper up there

Everybody should read that paper

Get it out to other people

All these papers we write is an education

Get educated

Get into our course

Get involved